

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,916	09/12/2003	Willy Furrer	148505-1	7340
43246 75	590 07/19/2006		EXAM	INER
GEAM - SILICONES - 60SI IP LEGAL			RABAGO, ROBERTO	
	ONE PLASTICS AVENUE			PAPER NUMBER
PITTSFIELD,	MA 01201-3697		1713	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		FURRER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	10/660,916 Examiner	Art Unit			
•					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Roberto Rábago	1713			
Period for Reply	appears on the sover sheet w	mar the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	? June 2006.				
<u> </u>	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34-50</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34-50</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ian priority under 35 U.S.C.	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gir priority under do d.c.c.	3			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the page 3.	riority documents have beer	n received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies no	t received.			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	08) 5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	 ·			

DETAILED ACTION

1. Prosecution is reopened in view of new grounds of rejection based on newly located prior art, as set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 34-39, 41-47, 49 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Voigt et al. (US 4,048,129).

The reference discloses in Example 2 a method of crosslinking polyethylene in the presence of two peroxides, vinyl trimethoxysilane, and water. The optional inclusion of antioxidants is disclosed at col. 4, line 12. The reference has not disclosed half-life temperatures; however, Perkadox 14 is di-t-butylperoxy isopropyl benzene, which is expressly within the scope of the both initiators, and t-butylperoxy isonanoate would appear to have a half-life temperature within the claimed range because numerous similar t-butylperoxy structures as discussed in applicants' specification have half-life temperatures well above 80°C. The burden of proof is shifted to applicants to show that

Application/Control Number: 10/660,916

Art Unit: 1713

t-butylperoxy isonanoate would not have a half-life temperature within the claimed range of 80° to 160°C.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 40 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voigt et al. (US 4,048,129) in view of Scott et al. (US 3,646,155).

The parent claims are discussed with respect to this reference above. Missing from Voigt is the recommendation to use the claimed peroxides. However, the reference is primarily concerned with providing a description of the basic process, and does not provide lists of simple alternative components which would clearly be effective in the disclosed process. As such, one of ordinary skill in the art would readily conclude that the reference process would function equally well with an array of alternative peroxide compounds which are well known in processes of crosslinking polyolefins using peroxide, a vinyl silane and water. Scott describes a similar process for crosslinking of polyethylene, and discloses that an array of peroxides, including numerous species from applicants' claims 40 and 48, such as dicumyl peroxide, di-t-butyl peroxide, and others are useful in the process (bridging col. 2-3). One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use alternative peroxides, such as those disclosed in Scott, because the claimed scope of species includes an array of peroxides which are well established as useful alternatives in processes of polyolefin crosslinking reactions.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,916

Art Unit: 1713

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Roberto Rábago whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1109. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Roberto Rábago **Primary Examiner** Page 4

Art Unit 1713

RR July 17, 2006